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## EVALUATION OF THE NEUTRON GROSS SECTIONS FOR PU-240


#### Abstract

The present evaluation is proposed to supersede the ENDF/B-V, Revision 2 file for ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ by L . W. Weston et al., dated September, 1978. 1-3 In this work, resonance parameters, cross sections, energy distributions, and angular distributions have been modified. These changes are outlined in detail and appropriate references included.


## 1. THERMAL NEUTRON ENERGY REGION

The thermal neutron cross sections are defined by the resolved resonance parameters and therefore may be calculated at any desired temperature. Table 1 gives the calculated $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ cross sections at room temperature for ENDF/B-V and the present evaluation. The cross sections were calculated using the code NPTXS by R. Q. Wright. The differences are due to changes in the parameters of the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance and the uncertainties are determined by the uncertainties in these parameters.

Table 1. Calculated $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ cross sections

|  | Capture <br> (barns) | Scattering <br> (barns) | Fission <br> (barns) | Total <br> (barns) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ENDF/B-V | $289.8 \pm 2.9$ | 1.55 | $0.057 \pm 0.019$ | 291.4 |
| Present evaluation | $287.6 \pm 2.9$ | 0.96 | $0.064 \pm 0.019$ | 288.6 |

The thermal neutron energy region for ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ is dominated by the resonance at $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$, which contributes more than $98 \%$ of the cross section at $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Traditionally, discrepancies in the measured parameters of the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance ${ }^{4,5}$ make the parameters difficult to evaluate to the needed accuracy. Uniform samples, which must be very thin because of the size of the resonance, are difficult to fabricate. Also, the resolution function and the Doppler width of the resonance in this transition region
of neutron energy must be known and understood. Table 2 gives the ENDF/BIV, ENDF/B-V, and present evaluated values for the resonance parameters of the $1.056-e V$ resonance; the most accurate reported integral determination of the $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ absorption cross section; ${ }^{6}$ and two recent measurements of the resonance parameters. 7,8

Table 2. Parameters of the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance

| Source | Neutron <br> width <br> (meV) | ```Radiation width (meV)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product } \\ & \text { (meV) }^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ capture cross section (barns) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENDF/B-IV | 2.44 | 29.9 | 73.0 | 277 |
| ENDF/B-V | $2.28 \pm 0.15$ | $33.3 \pm 2.0$ | $75.9 \pm 0.7$ | $289.8 \pm 2.9$ |
| Lounsbury et al. ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | $75.8 \pm 0.4^{\text {a }}$ | $289.5 \pm 1.4$ |
| Liou \& Chrien ${ }^{7}$ | $2.32 \pm 0.06$ | $32.4 \pm 0.6$ | $75.2 \pm 2.4$ | $288.3 \pm 9.0^{\text {a }}$ |
| Spencer et al. ${ }^{8}$ | $2.45 \pm 0.02$ | $30.3 \pm 0.3$ | $74.2 \pm 0.6$ | $284.8 \pm 2.0^{\text {a }}$ |
| Present evaluation | $2.45 \pm 0.02$ | $30.6 \pm 0.6$ | $75.0 \pm 0.6$ | $287.6 \pm 2.9^{\text {a }}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated assuming 4.0 barns contribution from all other resonances.

An integral measurement of the $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ absorption cross section was made by Lounsbury et al. 6 in a thermal column. This measurement can be interpreted as the product of the neutron and radiation widths. Liou and Chrien ${ }^{7}$ measured the transmission and capture cross sections of metal and oxide samples at room, liquid nitrogen, and liquid helium temperatures. Spencer et al. 8 used multiple sample thicknesses at room temperature to carefully measure the transmission.

The ENDF/B-IV evaluation reflects earlier measurements of the resonance parameters of the $1-e V$ resonance and the $2200 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ cross sections. For ENDF/B-V, a higher radiation width was chosen in an attempt to obtain better agreement with reactor burn-up calculations. 4 Two recent, accurate differential measurements, which are now available, do not justify this choice. Instead, the present values are evaluated from the recent measurements. These measurements are slightly discrepant, however, no known reason has been found to discount either of the measurements.

## 2. RESOLVED RESONANCE REGION

The resolved resonance region extends from $10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}$ to 5.7 keV . From 20 to $3,900 \mathrm{eV}$ the neutron widths of the resonances are essentially unchanged from ENDF/B-V where they were derived from weighted averages of the results reported by Weigmann, ${ }^{9}$ Ashgar, ${ }^{10}$ and Hockenbury. ${ }^{11}$ From 3,900 to $5,700 \mathrm{eV}$, where the present evaluation has been expanded, the neutron widths are essentially those determined by Kolar and Böckhoff. 12 The neutron widths of resonances with very large subthreshold fission widths were reevaluated in some cases.

From 2,680 to $5,700 \mathrm{eV}$, a smooth cross section was added to the total and capture cross sections in File 3 to account for the missed resonances. The magnitude of the missed resonances was derived by plotting the sum of the neutron widths versus neutron energy as shown in Fig. 1. The missed levels, the area between the two curves in Fig. 1, were assumed to be narrow so that $\Gamma_{n} \ll \Gamma_{\gamma}$ and:

$$
\langle\sigma\rangle=2 \pi^{2} x^{2} \mathrm{E}^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} / \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{S}\right]
$$

where $\langle\sigma\rangle$ is the correction to the cross section, $\Gamma_{n}^{0} / \mathrm{D}$ is the s -wave strength function ( $1.05 \times 10^{-4}$ ) at low neutron energies where no levels are missed and $S$ is the effective, measured strength function ( $0.73 \times 10^{-4}$ ) at higher neutron energies.

The fission resonance areas of most of the resonances have been reported by Weston and Todd ${ }^{13}$ since the ENDF/B-V evaluation. The fission widths for the present evaluation are essentially the weighted averages of these derived widths and those of Auchampaugh and Weston, 14 and Migneco and Theobald. 15 Figure 2, taken from Ref. 13, shows the character of the subthreshold fission cross section. The fission cross section exhibits the intermediate structure due to levels in the second well of the doublehumped fission barrier.


Figure 1. Sum of the reduced resonance neutron widths versus neutron energy in the resolved resonance region. The area between the diverging lines indicates levels missed due to instrumental resolution.


Figure 2. Example of the subthreshold fission resonances. The clumps of resonances are due to resonances in the second well of the double humped fission barrier.

Uncertainties in the resolved resonance region are dominated by the $1-\mathrm{eV}$ and first few higher resonances. The uncertainties of the first few resonances above 1 eV are unchanged from Version $V$.

## 3. UNRESOLVED RESONANCE REGION

The unresolved resonance region for this evaluation extends from 5.7 to 40 keV where the first inelastic scattering level is located. The unresolved resonance region for ENDF/B-V extends from 3.9 to 40 keV .

Since the ENDF/B-V evaluation, the average total cross sections have been measured by Gwin et al., ${ }^{16}$ Poenitz et al., ${ }^{17}$ and Käppeler et al. ${ }^{18}$ Three measurements of the capture cross sections have been reported; those by Weston and Todd, 19 Wisshak and Käppeler, ${ }^{20}$ and Hockenbury et al. ${ }^{11}$ These measurements were fit simultaneously for average resonance parameters using the code, FITACS, provided by F. H. Fröhner. ${ }^{21}$ The total cross section measurements by Käppeler et al. ${ }^{18}$ were given zero weight because of discrepancies with other measurements and the fact that the Käppeler data were difficult to fit with reasonable average resonance parameters. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the fit to the total and capture cross sections. The derived average resonance parameters are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_{0} & =1.2 \times 10^{-4} \\
\mathrm{~S}_{1} & =2.3 \times 10^{-4} \\
\Gamma_{\gamma} & =31 \mathrm{meV} \text { (from resonance region) } \\
\mathrm{D} & =13.1 \mathrm{eV}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fit was sensitive to $\mathrm{S}_{0}, \mathrm{~S} 1$, the ratio $\Gamma_{\gamma} / \mathrm{D}$, and the average fission cross section. The ratio, $\Gamma_{\gamma} / D$, was assumed to be the same for $s$-wave and p-wave neutrons. These parameters are consistent with those evaluated by Mughabghab ${ }^{22}$ except for $S_{0}$ which he quoted as $0.93+0.08$. The s-wave strength function of $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ was determined predominately by the transmission measurements of Gwin. ${ }^{16}$ There could be a variation in $S_{0}$ of this difference since the lower value was determined from the resolved resonance region at lower neutron energies.


Figure 3. Fit to the total cross section (solid line). The circles are the data of Gwin. ${ }^{16}$ The pluses are the data of Poenitz et al. 17 and the triangles are the data of Käppeler et al. ${ }^{18}$ The dashed line is the ENDF/B-V evaluation.


Figure 4. Fit to the capture cross sections of ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}_{\mathrm{Pu}}$ (solid line). The circles are the data of Weston and Todd 19 and the pluses and the triangles are the data of Wisshak and Käppeler. ${ }^{20}$ The dashed line is the ENDF/B-V evaluation. The cusp at 43 keV is due to the first inelastic scattering level.

Two recent measurements of the average subthreshold fission cross section in this neutron energy region by Weston and Todd ${ }^{13}$ and by BudtzJ申rgensen and Knitter, 23 which are in excellent agreement, were used to evaluate the average fission cross section. These averaged data are shown in Fig. 5. Both data sets show much more structure in this energy region, however, a fit was attempted only to the overall shape and correct integral. Two recent measurements of the ratio of this cross section to that of 235 U by Behrens et al. 24 and Wisshak and Käppeler ${ }^{25}$ were not directly used in this neutron energy region because of difficulty in interpretation.

Since the shape of this cross section was incompatible with the models used in the FITACS code, 21 the fitted capture and scattering cros sections were modified to reflect the evaluation of the average fission cross section. The code, URES, by E. Pennington 26 was used to make this modification. The strength functions and $\Gamma_{\gamma} / D$ from the FITACS fit were used with the fission widths derived with the URES fit.

The capture cross-section evaluation is in good agreement with the measurements of Weston and Todd ${ }^{19}$ but not as good with those of Wisshak and Kappelar 20 and Hockenbury et a1. 11 The total cross section and average resonance parameters from the resonance region had a strong influence on the present evaluation of the capture cross section.

## 4. SMOOTH CROSS SECTIONS

From 100 to 300 keV the capture cross section is extrapolated from the present fit to the value in ENDF/B-V. Above 300 keV the capture cross section is unchanged from $E N D F / B-V$ in this neutron energy region. Figure 6 compares the Version $V$ and present evaluated capture cross sections above 40 keV with the calculated values of Lagrange and Jary. 27

The fission cross section from 40 to 100 keV is based on the measurements of Weston and Todd ${ }^{13}$ and Budtz-Jørgensen and Knitter ${ }^{23}$ (see Fig. 5). From 100 keV to 1 MeV , no changes were made in the evaluation for Version $V$ of the fission ratio to 235 U , which was based primarily on the


Figure 5. The averaged subthreshold fission cross section for ${ }^{248} \mathrm{Pu}$. The circles are the data of Weston and Todd ${ }^{13}$ and the pluses the data of Budtz-Jørgensen and Knitter. ${ }^{23}$ The solid line is the present evaluation and the dashed line is ENDF/B-V.


Figure 6. The present evaluation (line) of the capture cross section above 40 keV and the ENDF/B-V evaluation (dashed line). The points are from the model calculation of Lagrange and Jary. 27
data of Behrens et al. 28 Version $V$ is in agreement with the new measurements of Weston and Todd 29 and the ratio measurements of Cierjacks et al., 30 shown in Fig. 7. Figure 8 shows the data of Meadows, 31 BudtzJфrgensen and Knitter, ${ }^{23}$ and the Version $V$ evaluation in the same neutron energy region. If the data of Meadows 31 and Budtz-J申rgensen and Knitter 23 are shifted to lower neutron energies by about $4 \%$, the evaluation is within the uncertainties of most of the measured values. Since the neutron energy scale can usually be determined more accurately for a LINAC measurement, the neutron energy scale of Behrens et al. 28 was retained.

Above 1 MeV there are a number of measurements of the ratio of the ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ fission cross section to that of 235 U . Figures 9 and 10 show these data and the ENDF/B-V and the present evaluation. Figure 11 shows the fission cross-section measurement of Kari and Cierjacks ${ }^{32}$ and the present evaluation in this neutron energy range. The shape of the Kari fission cross-section measurement ${ }^{32}$ was given appreciable weight relative to the fission ratio measurements by Weston and $\operatorname{Todd}^{29}$ and Behrens et al., 28 because the LINAC measurements had low neutron intensity at these high neutron energies. In this neutron energy range, fission must be separated into first, second, and third chance fission. This separation, shown in Fig. 12, is somewhat arbitrary because of inadequate information.

The ENDF/B-V evaluation of the ${ }^{235} U$ fission cross section was used to convert the evaluated ratios to ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ fission cross sections. If there are appreciable changes in the 235 U fission cross-section standard for ENDF/BVI, some revision of the present evaluation of 240 Pu may be necessary. Figure 13 gives an overview of the ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ fission cross-section evaluation and a comparison with ENDF/B-V.

The total cross section below 300 keV is from the FITACS ${ }^{21}$ fit described previously; above 300 keV the model calculations by Lagrange and $J^{2} \operatorname{Jry}^{27}$ were used. Figure 14 is a comparison of these results with ENDF/B-V and the measurements of Poenitz et al. 17 and Gwin et a1. 16

The scattering cross sections and angular distributions were evaluated by Ed Arthur. His evaluation was based primarily on the coupled-channel


Figure 7. The fission cross section ratio to ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ from 100 to 1000 keV . The present evaluation (line) is unchanged from Version V. The circles are the measurements of Weston and Todd, 29 the triangles the data of Cierjacks et al., 30 and the pluses are the data of Behrens et al. 28


Figure 8. Comparison of the fission cross section ratio to 235 U of ENDF/B-V (solid line) and the data of Meadows ${ }^{31}$ (circles) and Butdz-J $\beta$ rgensen and Knitter ${ }^{23}$ (triangles).


Figure 9. The fission cross section ratio to 235 U from 1 to 20 MeV . The solid line is the present evaluation and the dashed line is ENDF/B-V. The circles are the data of Meadows; 31 the triangles are the data of Butdz-J $\neq$ rgensen and Knitter; ${ }^{23}$ the pluses are the data of Kari and Cierjacks. ${ }^{32}$


Figure 10. The fission cross section ratio to ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ from 1 to 20 MeV . The solid line is the present evaluation and the dashed line is ENDF/B-V. The circles are the data of Weston and Todd 29 and the pluses are the data of Behrens et al. ${ }^{28}$


Figure 11. The fission cross section of ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ from 1 to 20 MeV . The solid line is the present evaluation and the dashed 1 ine is ENDF/B-V. The circles are the data of Kari and Cierjacks. ${ }^{32}$


Figure 12. The evaluation of the fission cross section of 240 Pu from 1 to 20 MeV and the separation into first, second and third chance fission. Note the displaced zero of the plot.


Figure 13. The evaluation of the fission cross section of 240 Pu from 40 kev to 20 MeV as compared to ENDF/B-V (dashed line).


Figure 14. The evaluation (solid line) of the total cross section from 0.1 to 20 MeV . The dashed line is ENDF/B-V. The pluses are the data of Gwin ${ }^{16}$ and the circles and triangles are the data of Poenitz et al. 17 Below 300 keV the evaluation was based on the FITACS ${ }^{21}$ fit and at higher neutron energies the model calculations of Lagrange and Jary ${ }^{27}$ were used.
calculations described by Lagrange and Jary ${ }^{27}$ up to 3 MeV and on the Madland and Young ${ }^{33}$ evaluation of ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ above 3 MeV . Several changes were incorporated in order to obtain smooth transition regions and agreement with the total cross sections. The first two levels and the total inelastic scattering are compared with ENDF/B-V in Fig. 15. The total inelastic and continuum inelastic are shown in Fig. 16 along with ENDF/B-V. Figure 17 shows all inelastic scattering cross sections for the present evaluation.

A comparison with the inelastic scattering measurements of Smith et al. ${ }^{34}$ is shown in Fig. 18. None of the data points contained the contribution from the first inelastic level so this contribution has been subtracted from the evaluation. The two higher energy points included no contribution from the $142-\mathrm{keV}$ level so this contribution has been subtracted from the solid line comparison, and the agreement with these two data points is excellent. The three lower energy points were quoted as containing the contribution from the $142-\mathrm{keV}$ level so the solid line is a comparison with the present evaluation. The agreement is within the uncertainties of the evaluation and measurements. Because of the experimental neutron-energy resolution and the proximity of the $142-\mathrm{keV}$ contribution to the elastic scattering, there is probably some contribution from this level lost from the lower three points. ${ }^{35}$ The upper limit for this effect is indicated by the dashed line.

The model calculations of Lagrange and Jary ${ }^{27}$ were also used for the total ( $\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{n}, 3 \mathrm{n}$ ) cross sections. Comparisons with ENDF/B-IV and ENDF/B-V are shown in Fig. 19. Previous evaluations ${ }^{36}$ were based on ${ }^{239} \mathrm{Pu}$ data due to lack of experimental data.

The elastic scattering cross section was adjusted in order to maintain consistency between the total and partial cross sections. As can be seen in Fig. 20, where the total and elastic scattering cross sections are plotted, the shape of the elastic scattering cross section appears reasonable.

Uncertainties for the unresolved resonance region and the smooth cross sections (file 3) were evaluated for Revision 2 of ENDF/B-V and are unchanged for this evaluation.


Figure 15. The evaluation (solid line) of the total inelastic scattering and the inelastic scattering from the first two levels for 240 Pu . The dashed lines are the corresponding ENDF/B-V evaluations.


Figure 16. The evaluation (solid line) of the total inelastic scattering and the continuum contribution for ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$. The dashed lines are the corresponding ENDF/B-V evaluations.


Figure 17. The evaluation of the total inelastic scattering and that of the individual levels for ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$.


Figure 18. Comparison of the measurements of Smith, Guenther, and McKnight ${ }^{34}$ with the present evaluation of inelastic scattering. None of the data points contain the first inelastic level and the two higher energy measurements do not contain the second level. The dashed line would be the case if the first three measurements also missed the second inelastic level.


Figure 19. The accepted evaluation (solid line) by Lagrange and Jary ${ }^{27}$ of the ( $n, 2 n$ ) and ( $n, 3 n$ ) cross sections for 240 Pu. The dashed line is the corresponding ENDF/B-V evaluation.


Figure 20. The evaluation of the total and elastic scattering (dashed line) cross sections from 0.1 to 20 MeV .

## 5. ANGULAR AND ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS OF NEUTRONS

### 5.1 ELASTIC SCATTERING

The angular dependences for the elastically scattered neutrons were taken from the evaluation of ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ by P. G. Young and D. G. Madland of Los Alamos. This evaluation is described in some detail in Ref. 33. Because ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ and ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ have the same ground-state rotational band, they should be quite similar. The ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ evaluation was based on coupled channel calculations to which an isotropic compound nucleus contribution was added to the shape elastic scattering.

### 5.2 INELASTIC SGATTERING

The inelastic scattering angular distributions were taken from the Young and Madland evaluation of ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ (Ref. 33). The first three levels of 240 Pu and ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ have the same spin and parity and the distributions are anisotropic. A11 other inelastic neutrons were assumed to be emitted isotropically. A preequilibrium component was ignored in this evaluation.

### 5.3 NEUTRON ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS

The ( $\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}$ ), ( $\mathrm{n}, 3 \mathrm{n}$ ), and fission neutron energy distributions were not changed from Version $V$. The inelastic scattering distributions are different because $\mathrm{MF}=4$ was changed, the exception being for $\mathrm{MT}=91$.

## 6. OTHER FILES

Many of the ENDF/B-V files were left intact for Version VI. For example, no changes were made in prompt or delayed $\bar{\nu}$, fission product yield data, radioactive decay data, the uncertainty files (which were modified in Revision 2) except for the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance, or the gamma-ray production files. ${ }^{37}$ The latter date back to Version IV, but, since multiplicities were used below about 1 MeV , the newly revised capture and fission cross setions will produce different gamma-production cross sections at low energies.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

This evaluation has taken into account many new measurements and model code results that were not available for ENDF/B-V. In particular, measurements have recently been made of: the parameters of the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance, 7,8 fission widths for subthreshold fission resonances, ${ }^{13}$ fission cross sections, $24,25,29,31,32$ and total cross sections.16-18 Below 100 keV , the code FITACS by F. H. Fröhner ${ }^{21}$ was used in addition to URES by E. Pennington. ${ }^{26}$ In the MeV range, the Lagrange and Jary calculations ${ }^{27}$ of the total, $(n, 2 n)$, and ( $n, 3 n$ ) were incorporated and the coupled-channel calculations for ${ }^{242} \mathrm{Pu}$ by Madland and Young ${ }^{33}$ were used for the elastic and inelastic angular distributions. In addition, Ed Arthur relied heavily on the Lagrange and Jary results ${ }^{27}$ for his treatment of the inelastic cross sections.

The data base for ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ appears fairly good with a few exceptions; the parameters of the $1.056-\mathrm{eV}$ resonance are not known with sufficient precision and the capture cross section in the keV and higher neutron energy region may not be accurate enough for some breeder reactor applications. Except for fission cross-section measurements, there is a paucity of experimental data above 100 keV . The new measurements of subthreshold fission and the ratio of ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ to ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ fission should give the fission cross sections to sufficient accuracy for most applications. If neutron emission above about 10 MeV is important, pre-equilibrium neutrons need to be included in the model calculations.

Figure 21 gives an overview of the cross sections in the present evaluation of ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$.


Figure 21. Overview of the present evaluation of ${ }^{240} \mathrm{Pu}$ above the resolved resonance region.
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